

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,835	06/18/2001	Irving S. Reed	42121/RRT/R535	9964	
23363 7	7590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			VARTANIAN, HARRY		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2634		
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/200	DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	
<i>6</i> 71	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/883,835	REED ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry Vartanian	2634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this comm  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2004.	•					
<i>,</i>	action is non-final.	:					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.		;					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		:					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9,17 and 21</u> is/are rejected.		:					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8, 10-16, 18-20, and 22-25</u> is/are ob	pjected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		:					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2001 is/a		cted to by the Examin	er.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (t).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received						
_ , , , ,	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
application from the International Bureau	· •		.9,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2002.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				
		<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 09/883,835

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 5-6 of the claim reads "an adaptive filter without prior knowledge of synchronization of synchronization of the spreading code". Please correct the typo. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 10-11, and 18-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 2, 10, and 18 each recite the step of "dividing the data vector". Is this in reference to the asynchronous data vector or the filtered data vector? Claims 3, 11, and 19 are objected to for being dependent on an objected base claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 5, 8, 15, 16 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: each of the claims recite "the data vector". Is this in reference to the asynchronous data vector or the filtered data vector? Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 4-8, 12-16, 20, and 24-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: equations are claimed without **each and every** variable being defined. Please look over the equations carefully to make sure all variables, including index variables such as "i", are defined. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,835

Art Unit: 2634

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1, 9, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madhow et al(IEEE 1994). Madhow et al meets the limitation of the claims by disclosing a MMSE interference suppression system for CDMA wherein the near-far problem is addressed by adaptively filtering the incoming CDMA information without knowing the interference parameters(See abstract and conclusion). Madhow recites that the MMSE filtering is done by using an N-TAP adaptive FIR filter(Pg. 3178, Column 2, Lines 18-22). Madhow et al also states that his receiver can be designed for asynchronous symbol transmission(Pg. 3178, Column 2, Lines 28). Madhow fails to teach the step of determining the spreading code once the filter coefficients are adaptively set to avoid interference. However, this step would have been obvious in a CDMA system to one of ordinary skill in the art since the spreading code of the user MUST be determined in order to demodulate the data.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-20, and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims —*AND- the above claim* objections are overcome.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/883,835

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry Vartanian whose telephone number is 571.272.3048.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571.272.3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry Vartanian Examiner

Art Unit 2634

HV

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE!

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800